Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/689,453	KROL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tuyen Q Tra	2873
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Tuyen Q Tra (Examiner)</u> .	(3)	
(2) Kevin Able (Attorney).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 July 2004.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	²)∏ applicant's representative	]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>9 and 22</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)□ was not reached. h)⊠ N	/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's attorney gave described in the office action</u> , on the above claims in order	the Examiner persmission to	make corrections, as
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	reed would render the claims ould render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
•		
		_
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Tuyen	Tra
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signa	ature, if required